Comparing Mobility and Predictability of VoIP and WLAN Traces



Jeeyoung Kim, Yi Du, Mingsong Chen and Ahmed Helmy

Department of Computer and Information Science and Engineering, University of Florida E-mail: {jk2, ydu, mchen, helmy} @ cise.ufl.edu



Introduction

Realistic modeling of user mobility is one of the most critical research areas in wireless networks.

- Even mobility models based on the analysis of real WLAN traces capture little mobility
- To capture the mobility of wireless users, we focus on VoIP device users
- ✤ Why?

VoIP devices are assumed to be light enough to carry around while using and are turned on most of the time

- Compare the behavior of highly mobile VoIP users to the general WLAN user
- Examine the effect of any differences on protocol performance such as prediction protocols

Data Set

- Dartmouth campus movement trace from CRAWDAD
- Device type MAC address map used to distinguish VoIP users
- VoIP set: 97 out of 13888 users in the WLAN movement trace

Three additional sample data sets with different criteria are collected from the WLAN movement trace to justify our findings.

- Sample 1 : a set of users that have visited more than 200 APs.
- Sample 2 : a set of users that have visited more than 170 but less than 200 APs.
- Sample 3 : a set of users that have visited an area range larger than 160000 \mbox{ft}^2
- Each of these data sets have roughly the similar number of users

CRAWDAD Workshop 2007

Prediction Comparison

Markov O(1), O(2), O(3) and LZ predictor are visited

- Order-k Markov predictor: assumes that the location can be predicted from the current context which is the sequence of the k most recent symbols in the location history
- LZ predictor: predicts in the case when the next symbol in the produced sequence is dependent on only its current state
- Each of these predictors are run for the WLAN movement trace, the VoIP data set and for each of the sample data sets
- The prediction accuracy is measured as the percentage of correct predictions of the next AP to visit





Figure 3: Prediction accuracy of the Markov O(3) Predictor

Figure 4: Prediction accuracy of the LZ Predictor



WLAN traces have the best accuracy with an average of approximately 60%

 VoIP traces have the worst accuracy with an average of approximately 25%

- Markov O(2) has the highest accuracy and LZ has the lowest

Figure 5: Comparison of different predictors on the VoIP data set

Future Work

- Improved prediction and modeling of highly mobile users
- Design a better predictor for *highly mobile* users, especially for the VoIP traces
- Investigating domain-specific knowledge, regressions, schedules and repetitive or preferential user behavior
- Extended experiments on other WLAN trace sets

Contact Point: Jeeyoung Kim, jk2@cise.ufl.edu